Senate Engrossed

State of Arizona Senate Fifty-third Legislature Second Regular Session 2018

SENATE BILL 1470

AN ACT

AMENDING SECTIONS 32-3101, 32-3103, 32-3104, 32-3105 AND 32-3106, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES; RELATING TO THE REGULATION OF HEALTH PROFESSIONS.

(TEXT OF BILL BEGINS ON NEXT PAGE)

1 Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Arizona: Section 1. Section 32-3101, Arizona Revised Statutes, is amended to 2 3 read: 4 32-3101. Definitions 5 In this chapter, unless the context otherwise requires: 6 2. 1. "Certification" means a voluntary process by which a 7 regulatory entity grants recognition to an individual who has met certain 8 prerequisite qualifications specified by that regulatory entity and who 9 may assume or use the word "certified" in a title or designation to 10 perform prescribed health professional tasks. 11 3. 2. "Grandfather clause" means a provision applicable to 12 practitioners actively engaged in the regulated health profession before the effective date of a law that exempts the practitioners from meeting 13 14 the prerequisite qualifications set forth in the law to perform prescribed 15 occupational tasks. 16 1. 3. "Applicant HEALTH PROFESSIONAL group" means any health professional group or organization, any individual or any other interested 17 18 party that proposes that any health professional group not presently 19 regulated be regulated or that proposes to increase the scope of practice 20 of a health profession. 21 4. "Health professions" means professions THAT ARE regulated 22 pursuant to chapter 7, 8, 11, 13, 14, 15, 15.1, 16, 17, 18, 19, 19.1, 21, 25, 28, 29, 33, 34, 35, 39 or 41 of this title, title 36, chapter 6, 23 24 article 7 or title 36, chapter 17. 5. "Increase the scope of practice" means to engage in conduct 25 26 beyond the authority granted to a health profession by law. 27 6. "Inspection" means the periodic examination of practitioners by 28 a state agency in order to ascertain whether the practitioners' occupation 29 is being carried out in a fashion consistent with the public health, 30 safety and welfare. 31 7. "Legislative committees of reference" means joint subcommittees 32 composed of the members of the appropriate standing committees of the 33 house of representatives and senate appointed pursuant to section 41-2954. 34 8. 7. "Licensure" or "license" means an individual. 35 nontransferable authorization to carry on a health activity that would 36 otherwise be unlawful in this state in the absence of the permission, and 37 that is based on qualifications that include graduation from an accredited 38 or approved program and acceptable performance on a qualifying examination 39 or a series of examinations. 40 9. 8. "Practitioner" means an individual who has achieved 41 knowledge and skill by practice and who is actively engaged in a specified 42 health profession.

1 10. 9. "Public member" means an individual who is not and never 2 has been a member or spouse of a member of the health profession being 3 regulated and who does not have and never has had a material financial 4 interest in either the rendering of the health professional service being 5 regulated or an activity directly related to the profession being 6 regulated.

7 11. 10. "Registration" means the formal notification that, before 8 rendering services, a practitioner shall submit to a state agency setting 9 forth the name and address of the practitioner, the location, nature and 10 operation of the health activity to be practiced and, if required by a 11 regulatory entity, a description of the service to be provided.

12 12. 11. "Regulatory entity" means any board, commission, agency or 13 department of this state that regulates one or more health professions in 14 this state.

15 13. 12. "State agency" means any department, board, commission or 16 agency of this state.

17 Sec. 2. Section 32-3103, Arizona Revised Statutes, is amended to 18 read:

19 20 32-3103. <u>Regulation of health professions; legislation;</u> <u>criteria</u>

A. Regulation shall not be imposed on any unregulated health profession except for the exclusive purpose of protecting the public interest. IT IS NOT A LEGITIMATE USE OF STATE POWER TO PROTECT EXISTING BUSINESSES AND AGENCIES, WHETHER PUBLICLY OR PRIVATELY OWNED, AGAINST COMPETITION. All proposed legislation to regulate a health profession for the first time shall be reviewed according to the following criteria, . . A AND THE health profession shall be regulated by this state only if:

1. THERE IS CREDIBLE EVIDENCE THAT THE unregulated practice can clearly harm or endanger OF THAT HEALTH PROFESSION POSES A SPECIFIC HARM OR DANGER TO the public health, safety or welfare and the potential for harm is easily recognizable and not remote or dependent on tenuous argument.

32 2. The public needs and can reasonably be expected to benefit from
 34 an assurance of initial and continuing professional ability.

35 3. The public cannot be effectively protected by other means in a 36 more cost beneficial COST-BENEFICIAL manner.

37 B. BEFORE THE LEGISLATURE ENACTS LEGISLATION THAT AFFECTS ANY 38 SPECIFIC OCCUPATION, PRACTICE OR ACT OF A HEALTH PROFESSION THAT HAS NOT 39 PREVIOUSLY BEEN REGULATED AND after evaluating the criteria prescribed in 40 subsection A OF THIS SECTION and considering governmental and societal costs and benefits, if the legislature finds that it is necessary to 41 regulate a health profession not previously regulated by law, THE 42 LEGISLATURE SHALL IMPLEMENT the least restrictive alternative method of 43 regulation shall be implemented TO ADDRESS THE SPECIFIC HARM OR DANGER 44 45 **IDENTIFIED**, consistent with the public interest and the following:

1 1. If existing common law and statutory civil actions and criminal 2 prohibitions are not sufficient to eradicate existing harm, the regulation shall provide for stricter civil actions and criminal prohibitions. 3

2. If a service is being performed for individuals which THAT 4 5 involves a hazard to the public health, safety or welfare, the regulation 6 shall impose inspection requirements and enable an appropriate state 7 agency to enforce violations by injunctive relief in court.

8 3. If the threat to the public health, safety or economic well-being is relatively small as a result of the operation of the health 9 10 profession, the regulation shall implement a system of registration.

11 4. If the consumer may have a substantial basis for relying on the 12 services of a practitioner, the regulation shall implement a system of 13 certification.

14 5. If it is apparent that adequate regulation cannot be achieved by 15 means other than licensing, the regulation shall implement a system of 16 licensing.

17 6. IF A LESS RESTRICTIVE REGULATION CANNOT ADDRESS A SPECIFIC HARM OR DANGER IDENTIFIED IN SUBSECTION B OF THIS SECTION, THE REGULATION MAY 18 INCLUDE LICENSURE BY THIS STATE. 19

20 C. AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS LICENSED OR CERTIFIED IN ANOTHER STATE OR JURISDICTION OF THE UNITED STATES IS NOT SUBJECT TO ANY ADDITIONAL 21 22 REGULATORY REQUIREMENT TO BECOME LICENSED OR CERTIFIED IN THIS STATE. EXCEPT TO ADDRESS A SPECIFIC HARM OR DANGER THAT IS UNIQUE TO THIS STATE. 23

24 Sec. 3. Section 32-3104, Arizona Revised Statutes, is amended to 25 read:

- 26
- 27

32-3104. Health professional groups; written report; legislative committees; hearings

28 Applicant HEALTH PROFESSIONAL groups shall submit a written report 29 explaining the factors prescribed in section 32-3105 or 32-3106 to the 30 president of the senate and the speaker of the house of 31 representatives. The report shall be submitted on or before September 1 32 before the start of the legislative session for which the legislation is 33 proposed. THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AND THE SENATE BY RULE MAY SET AN EARLIER REPORT DEADLINE. The president of the senate or AND the speaker 34 35 of the house of representatives shall assign the written report to the 36 appropriate legislative committee of reference COMMITTEES. The legislative committee of reference COMMITTEES shall study CONSIDER the 37 38 written report and deliver the report of its recommendations to the 39 speaker of the house of representatives, the president of the senate, the 40 governor and, if appropriate, the regulatory board of the health 41 profession on or before December 1 of the year in which the report is 42 submitted. Legislative committees of reference may hold hearings as they 43 deem necessary. IN CONNECTION WITH ANY LEGISLATION TO INITIALLY LICENSE A 44 HEALTH PROFESSIONAL OR TO EXPAND THE SCOPE OF PRACTICE OF A HEALTH 45 **PROFESSION.** If a health professional group proposes to increase the scope 1 of practice of its profession, copies of the written report shall be sent to the regulatory board of the health profession for review and comment. 2 3 If applicable, the regulatory board of the health profession shall make 4 recommendations based on the report submitted by applicant HEALTH 5 PROFESSIONAL groups to the extent requested by the legislative committees 6 of reference. THE HEALTH COMMITTEE OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AND 7 THE HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES COMMITTEE OF THE SENATE. OR THEIR SUCCESSOR 8 COMMITTEES, MAY CONDUCT HEARINGS ON THE WRITTEN REPORT BEFORE THE 9 LEGISLATIVE SESSION CONVENES.

10 Sec. 4. Section 32-3105, Arizona Revised Statutes, is amended to 11 read:

12

32-3105. Applicants for regulation; factors

Applicant HEALTH PROFESSIONAL groups for regulation shall explain each of the following factors to the extent requested by the legislative committees of reference:

16 1. A definition of the problem and Why regulation is necessary, 17 including:

18 (a) The nature of the potential harm to the public if the health 19 profession is not regulated and the extent to which there is a threat to 20 public health and safety.

(b) The extent to which consumers need and will benefit from a method of regulation identifying competent practitioners and indicating typical employers, if any, of practitioners in the health profession.

24 (c) The extent of autonomy a practitioner has, as indicated by the 25 following:

26 (i) The extent to which the health profession calls for independent 27 judgment and the extent of skill or experience required in making the 28 independent judgment.

29

(ii) The extent to which practitioners are supervised.

30

33

38

2. The efforts made to address the problem, including:

31 (a) Voluntary efforts, if any, by members of the health profession 32 to either:

(i) Establish a code of ethics.

34 (ii) Help resolve disputes between health practitioners and 35 consumers.

36 (b) Recourse to and the extent of use of applicable law and whether 37 it could be amended to control the problem.

3. The alternatives considered, including:

39 (a) Regulation of business employers or practitioners rather than40 employee practitioners.

41 (b) Regulation of the program or service rather than the individual 42 practitioners.

43 (c) Registration of all practitioners.

44 (d) Certification of all practitioners.

45 (e) Other alternatives.

1 (f) Why the use of the alternatives specified in this paragraph 2 would not be adequate to protect the public interest.

3

(g) Why licensing would serve to protect the public interest.

4

4. The benefit to the public if regulation is granted, including:

(a) The extent to which the incidence of specific problems present 5 6 in the unregulated health profession can reasonably be expected to be 7 reduced by regulation.

8

(b) Whether the public can identify qualified practitioners.

9 (c) The extent to which the public can be confident that qualified 10 practitioners are competent, including:

11 (i) Whether the proposed regulatory entity would be a board 12 composed of members of the profession and public members or a state agency, or both, and, if appropriate, their respective responsibilities in 13 14 administering the system of registration, certification or licensure, including the composition of the board and the number of public members, 15 16 if any, the powers and duties of the board or state agency regarding 17 examinations and for cause revocation, suspension and nonrenewal of 18 registrations, certificates or licenses, the adoption of rules and canons of ethics, the conduct of inspections, the receipt of complaints and 19 20 disciplinary action taken against practitioners and how fees would be 21 levied and collected to pay for the expenses of administering and 22 operating the regulatory system.

23 (ii) If there is a grandfather clause, whether grandfathered 24 practitioners will be required to meet the prerequisite qualifications 25 established by the regulatory entity at a later date.

(iii) The nature of the standards proposed for registration, 26 27 certification or licensure as compared with the standards of other 28 jurisdictions.

29 (iv) Whether the regulatory entity would be authorized to enter 30 into reciprocity agreements with other jurisdictions.

(v) The nature and duration of any training, including whether the 31 32 training includes a substantial amount of supervised field experience, whether training programs exist in this state, if there will be an 33 34 experience requirement, whether the experience must be acquired under a 35 registered, certified or licensed practitioner, whether there are 36 alternative routes of entry or methods of meeting the prerequisite qualifications, whether all applicants will be required to pass an 37 38 examination, and if an examination is required, by whom it will be 39 developed and how the costs of development will be met.

40 (d) Assurance of the public that practitioners have maintained 41 their competence, including:

42 (i) Whether the registration, certification or licensure will carry 43 an expiration date.

1 (ii) Whether renewal will be based only on payment of a fee or 2 whether renewal will involve reexamination, peer review or other 3 enforcement.

4

5. The extent to which regulation might harm the public, including:

5

(a) The extent to which regulation will restrict entry into the 6 health profession, including:

7 (i) Whether the proposed standards are more restrictive than 8 necessary to ensure safe and effective performance.

9 proposed legislation (ii) Whether the requires registered, 10 certified or licensed practitioners in other jurisdictions who migrate to this state to qualify in the same manner as state applicants for 11 12 registration, certification and licensure if the other jurisdiction has 13 substantially equivalent requirements for registration, certification or 14 licensure as those in this state.

(b) Whether there are professions similar to that of the applicant 15 16 HEALTH PROFESSIONAL group which THAT should be included in, or portions of 17 the applicant HEALTH PROFESSIONAL group which THAT should be excluded 18 from, the proposed legislation.

19

6. The maintenance of standards including:

20 (a) Whether effective quality assurance standards exist in the 21 health profession, such as legal requirements associated with specific 22 programs that define or enforce standards or a code of ethics.

23 (b) How the proposed legislation will assure ENSURE quality, 24 including:

25

32

(i) The extent to which a code of ethics, if any, will be adopted.

26 (ii) The grounds for suspension or revocation of registration, 27 certification or licensure.

28 7. A description of the group proposed for regulation, including a 29 list of associations, organizations and other groups representing the practitioners in this state, an estimate of the number of practitioners in 30 31 each group and whether the groups represent different levels of practice.

8. The expected costs of regulation, including:

(a) The impact THAT registration, certification or licensure will 33 34 have on the costs of the services to the public.

35 (b) The cost to this state and to the general public of 36 implementing the proposed legislation.

37 Sec. 5. Section 32-3106, Arizona Revised Statutes, is amended to 38 read:

39

40

32-3106. Applicants for increase in scope of practice; <u>legislation: criteria</u>

41 A. Applicant HEALTH PROFESSIONAL groups for SEEKING AN increased scope of practice shall explain each of the following factors to the 42 extent requested by the legislative committee of reference COMMITTEES: 43

44 1. A definition of the problem and Why a change in scope of 45 practice is necessary BENEFICIAL, including the extent to which HEALTH 1 CARE consumers need and will benefit from practitioners with this scope of 2 practice.

3 2. The extent to which the public can be confident that qualified 4 practitioners are competent including:

5 (a) Evidence that the profession's regulatory board has functioned
6 adequately in protecting the public.

7 (b) Whether effective quality assurance standards exist in the
 8 health profession, such as legal requirements associated with specific
 9 programs that define or endorse standards or a code of ethics.

10 (c) Evidence that state approved educational programs provide or 11 are willing to provide core curriculum adequate to prepare practitioners 12 at the proposed level.

2. WHETHER THE PROPOSED SCOPE OF PRACTICE IS TAUGHT IN ACCREDITED
 PROFESSIONAL SCHOOLS FOR THE PROFESSION, IN POSTGRADUATE TRAINING PROGRAMS
 OR IN CONTINUING EDUCATION PROGRAMS.

163. WHETHER THE PROPOSED SCOPE OF PRACTICE IS TESTED ON NATIONAL17BOARD EXAMINATIONS FOR APPLICANTS FOR PROFESSIONAL LICENSURE.

18 3. 4. The extent to which an increase in the scope of practice may harm the public including the extent to which, IF ANY, an increased scope 19 20 of practice will restrict entry into practice OF ANY OTHER INDIVIDUALS and whether the proposed legislation requires registered, certified or 21 22 licensed practitioners in other jurisdictions who migrate to this state to 23 qualify in the same manner as state applicants for registration, 24 certification and licensure if the other jurisdiction has substantially 25 equivalent requirements for registration, certification or licensure as 26 those in this state.

27 4. The cost to this state and to the general public of implementing
 28 the proposed increase in scope of practice.

5. THE RELEVANT HEALTH PROFESSIONAL LICENSURE LAWS, IF ANY, IN30 OTHER STATES.

31 6. RECOMMENDATIONS, IF ANY, FROM THE APPLICABLE LICENSING BOARD AND
 32 FROM PROFESSIONAL DEGREE AND TRAINING PROGRAMS IN THIS STATE.

B. THE LEGISLATURE SHALL REVIEW ANY LEGISLATION TO INCREASE A SCOPE
 OF PRACTICE ACCORDING TO THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA:

35 1. AN INDIVIDUAL WHO GRADUATES FROM AN ACCREDITED HEALTH 36 PROFESSIONAL TRAINING PROGRAM, PASSES THE REQUIRED NATIONAL BOARD EXAMINATIONS AND BECOMES LICENSED IN THIS STATE IS PRESUMED TO BE FULLY 37 38 QUALIFIED TO PROVIDE THE FULL SCOPE OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FOR WHICH THE 39 INDIVIDUAL IS TRAINED.

40 2. ANY LIMIT ON A HEALTH PROFESSION SCOPE OF PRACTICE MUST BE ONLY 41 FOR THE EXCLUSIVE PURPOSE OF PROTECTING THE PUBLIC INTEREST FROM A CLEAR 42 AND IDENTIFIED SPECIFIC HARM. 1 3. ANY PROPOSED INCREASE IN A SCOPE OF PRACTICE THAT WILL RESTRICT 2 OTHER INDIVIDUALS FROM ENGAGING IN THE HEALTH PROFESSION TO A GREATER 3 DEGREE THAN IN CURRENT LAW MUST HAVE CREDIBLE EVIDENCE THAT THE PROPOSED 4 CHANGE IS REQUIRED.

5 4. THERE IS A PRESUMPTION THAT HAVING ADDITIONALLY TRAINED HEALTH 6 PROFESSIONALS PROVIDING AN EXPANDED RANGE OF PROFESSIONAL HEALTH CARE 7 SERVICES WILL HAVE A BENEFICIAL EFFECT FOR A COMMUNITY AND SHOULD BE 8 ENCOURAGED AND SUPPORTED.